

THE BETZ AREA RATIO

A COMPARISON STANDARD FOR WIND-POWER DEVICES

The recent push toward renewable energy and "green" technology has resulted in a wide variety of devices for extracting energy from the wind. The claims of developers are difficult to put into perspective. The following is intended to put forward a performance standard which can be used to rate such devices. It is based upon the upper limit of power attainable from the most commonly used wind-power device...the axial-flow wind turbine.

The time rate at which one collects the total kinetic energy of the wind (that is, by bringing the air to a standstill) passing through a portal with capturing area, A , is

$$P = \Phi A$$

where Φ is the famous "one-half rho v cubed" energy flux which rises with the air density and with the cube of the true wind velocity (not apparent wind). And, real systems only collect a fraction of that power. The analysis called [Betz' Law](#) proves that an axial-flow wind turbine is limited to 16/27ths of the above power, referred to here as P_B , flowing through the area swept out by the rotor blades, A_B .

$$P_B = \frac{16}{27} \Phi A_B$$

If a system, such as a kite-power system, generates power from the wind and we set P_B equal to that power, then, in order to generate the same amount of power, a Betz-limited turbine would need a rotor area of

$$A_B = \frac{27 P_B}{16 \Phi}$$

It is proposed here, that the system under consideration generating power P_B with a characteristic area, A_S , (such as wing area in a kite-power system) has a Betz area ratio of

$$R_B = \frac{A_B}{A_S}$$

It is the intent of this comparative standard to reduce or eliminate the ambiguity of differences in wing area and wind velocity when comparing the performances of different wind-power systems.

Some interpretation may be required to establish the characteristic area of the system. It would be straight forward to use the wing area if the air vehicle(s) in a kite-power system possessed more or less flat, planar wings which undergo unidirectional motion, such as the moving-root kite system proposed in [Project Sea Tree](#). Analysis of that system indicates Betz area ratios well in excess of 200.

But, if a curved flexible wing is used, one might use the projection of the wing area onto a plane perpendicular to the tether. With the use of multiple tethers, one would use the projection of the wing area onto a plane perpendicular to the sum of the tether tension vectors. In the case of a tethered autogyro, one might use the area swept out by the rotor blades, as would be the case for a wind turbine. But, when the wing sweeps out a circular arc with radius much larger than the wing span, it might make more sense to use the wing area rather than the area of the circle being swept out.

Dennis